## Application No. Applicant(s) 09/705,575 LAWTON ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Jill M. Gray 1774 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Jill M. Gray. (2) Mr. Mark Sweet. Date of Interview: 7/17/03;7/24/03. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)⊠ No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: All. Identification of prior art discussed: None. Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\square$ was reached. g) $\bowtie$ was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Left voice message on 7/17/03 to discuss limiting claims to organic hollow particles for allowability. Held discussion on 7/24/03 with Mr. Sweet who indicated that applicants did not agree to the examiner's proposal. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims

allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required